# Population status and assessment of RBG 2022

AEWA/EGMIWG/7.10 – Kees Koffijberg (Sovon / EGMP Data Centre), Hans Baveco (WENR) & Paul Goedhart (Biometris)

© Magnus Elander

AEWA European Goose Management Platform | **21-23 June 2022**
EGM IWG7
Helsinki, Finland

AEWA

AEWA European Goose
Management Platform

Russian/Germany & Netherlands Population

Range states flyway:

Russia, Finland, Estonia, Sweden, Norway, Denmark, Germany, the Netherlands and Belgium

Management Units within flyway:

1 – Russian breeders (RU), migratory

2 – Baltic breeders (FI, EE, SE, NO [Oslofjord], DK, migratory

3 – North Sea breeders (DE, NL, BE), mainly sedentary

All management units mix during winter in BE, NL, DE, DK and SE (wintering states)

EGMP Population status and assessment report 2022
AEWA/EGMIWG/7.10

Population FRP's and Management strategies

Adaptive Flyway Management Programme for the Russia/Germany & Netherlands Population of the Barnacle Goose (*Branta leucopsis*)

AEWA European Goose Management Platform

AEWA EGMP Programme No. 3

FRP's:

Flyway (winter): 380,000 <u>individuals</u>

1 – Russian breeders (RU): 112,927 <u>breeding pairs</u>

2 – Baltic breeders (FI, EE, SE, NO [Oslofjord]*, DK): 12,000 <u>bp</u>

3 – North Sea breeders (DE, NL, BE*): 12,000 <u>bp</u>

Based on situation in 2000 when AEWA came into force (flyway population) and country-specific data (MU-populations) – see AFMP for details

*NO + BE regard their breeding populations as not naturally breeding, i.e. not covered by the AFMP

EGMP Population status and assessment report 2022
AEWA/EGMIWG/7.10

Population FRP's and Management strategies

Management strategy:

Prevent flyway population or breeding population in any MU from declining below FRP (caution measures when < 200% from FRP)

→ No targets like in other EGMP species, but FRP's represent the lower limits of the legally acceptable populations

→ Assessment focuses on whether cumulative impact of derogations (and hunting outside EU) affects these FRP's

Adaptive Flyway Management Programme for the Russia/Germany & Netherlands Population of the Barnacle Goose (*Branta leucopsis*)

AEWA European Goose Management Platform

AEWA EGMP Programme No. 3

AEWA European Goose Management Platform

21-23 June 2022
EGM IWG7
Helsinki, Finland

AEWA

AEWA European Goose
Management Platform

EGMP Population status and assessment report 2022
AEWA/EGMIWG/7.10

Assessment Protocol

Review | Published: 18 December 2010

Integrated population models: a novel analysis
framework for deeper insights into population dynamics

Michael Schaub ✉ & Fitsum Abadi

Journal of Ornithology 152, 227–237 (2011) | Cite this article

5382 Accesses | 293 Citations | 8 Altmetric | Metrics

ingle, unified analysis of population
mework is quite novel and can be
le of statistical inference. Here, we briefly

Ecological Modelling
Volume 415, 1 January 2020, 108869

ELSEVIER

Using integrated population models for insights
into monitoring programs: An application using
pink-footed geese

An Integrated Popu...
Central Management Unit of...

AEWA European Go...

Fred A. Johnson [a] ✉, Guthrie S. Zimmerman [b], Gitte H. Jensen [c, 1], Kevin K. Clausen [c], Morten Frederiksen [d],
Jesper Madsen [c]

Show more ⌄

+ Add to Mendeley   ⌘ Share   🔖 Cite

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2019.108869   Get rights and content

Integrated Population Model (IPM):

Combine all available monitoring date into one single analysis to derive estimates for abundance and population demography:

1. Population counts → Flyway in January + MUs in July-August

2. Reproduction → Flyway in autumn + MUs in July-August

3. Offtake, i.e. mainly derogation data, yearround

Collection of data only possible by a large network of volunteer counters, staff of various agencies, governmental administrations & EU data repository!

EGMP Population status and assessment report 2022
AEWA/EGMIWG/7.10

Abundance – Flyway (January)

IPM-estimates (line + shaded area) point at flyway population size of approx. 1.4 million individuals in 2020/2021 - well in accordance with census data (dots)

This is 3.7 times FRP and well above 200% threshold level

Established after long period of population increase, slowed down recently

EGMP Population status and assessment report 2022
AEWA/EGMIWG/7.10

Abundance – Flyway – Size matters!

By far the <u>largest share</u> of the flyway represent <u>MU1 – Russian breeders</u> - approx. 90% of entire flyway population

(based on IPM-estimates of individuals in July)

EGMP Population status and assessment report 2022
AEWA/EGMIWG/7.10

Abundance – MU's (July, converted into breeding pairs)


MU1 - RUS

MU2 - BAL

MU3 - NS

MU1 – Russian breeding population: <u>4.3x FRP in 2020 and above 200% threshold</u>, still <u>increasing</u>

MU2 – Baltic: <u>2.5x FRP and above 200% threshold</u>, trend <u>levelling off</u> over past five seasons

MU3 – North Sea: <u>1.4x FRP</u>, since 2010 <u>within 200% threshold,</u> sharp decline in last season

Offtake (derogations)

Note 2020/21 come with large uncertainties as data incomplete!

As a result of increased derogation effort (and unknown harvest in Russia):

→ Increasing offtake rates in all MU's

→ Particularly strong increase in MU3 after 2012, up to > 30%

∑ derogation effort in 2020 (complete data):

73,250 ind. of which 67% in NL

Demographic rates: reproduction & survival

Reproduction (% young in population):

MU1 - Russian – large fluctuations without clear trend (since 2005, but decline on longer term)

MU2 - Baltic - declining

MU3 - North Sea – fluctuations without clear trend

Field data tend to be below IPM-estimates

Survival (IPM!)

Much higher in adults (0.904-0.969) than in juveniles (0.451-0.758)

Juvenile survival lowest in MU1 (fully migratory, but also includes unknown offtake in Russia)

Juvenile survival North Sea lower than in Baltic, but becoming similar due to decrease in Baltic population

EGMP Population status and assessment report 2022
AEWA/EGMIWG/7.10

Management guidance

MU1 - RUS   MU2 - BAL   MU3 - NS   Flyway

"Business as usual scenario" – current level of derogations

→ Number of <u>breeding pairs in MU3 will go down below FRP in next years</u>, MU2 will remain on about same level and overall flyway size not affected and predicted to increase further in the next years

EGMP Population status and assessment report 2022
AEWA/EGMIWG/7.10

Decision points / Take note of

Management strategy:

- Current level of derogations (and unknown harvest in Russia) does not affect populations in MU1 Russia and MU2 Baltic in terms of conflict with FRP (or even 200% threshold for caution measures)

- For MU 3 North Sea population, <u>current level of offtake</u> will result in numbers <u>falling below FRP</u> in the next years and being within 200% threshold (for a long time already) also collaboration/ordination needed among MU3 states NL, BE and DE (recalling Mondays' discussions in the TF)

- In order to keep MU3 – North Sea population above FRP, derogation effort need to be halved to stop decline and more than halved to recover to a level well above FRP

EGMP Population status and assessment report 2022
AEWA/EGMIWG/7.10

Decision points / Take note of

Gaps in data provision (affecting performance of IPM):

Table A.4. Overview of available monitoring data in the Russia/Netherlands and Germany Barnacle Goose population. X data collected at national level/annually, (x) data collected but not annually and/or not at national level, - data currently not collected, * not relevant range state in this respect.
[1] note that Germany only submits data once every six years (full dataset up to 2016), and recent years are based on published data only
[2] Norway is not a EU-country, but applies similar rules when it comes to management for Barnacle Goose

	RU	FI	EE	SE	NO	DK	DE	NL	BE	Remark
January census	*	*	*	X	*	X	X[1]	X	X	
Summer census	-	X	-	-	(x)	(x)	(x)	X	(x)	
Productivity, MU1 and MU2	*	*	*	-	*	-	X	X	-	Autumn, Nov-Dec
Productivity, MU2	*	(x)	-	-	(x)	-	*	*	*	Summer, Jul-Aug
Productivity, MU3	*	*	*	*	*	*	(x)	X	-	Summer, Jul
Offtake, hunting	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	In EU-countries only derogations
Offtake, derogations	*	X	X	X	X[2]	X	X	X	X	Mostly annual totals

Main data issues:

MU1: no harvest data (but analysis planned to estimate this from ringing and recovery data)

MU2: no summer counts and productivity estimates from SE; no complete or annual coverage in NO and DK

MU3: mainly based on data from NL

All: derogation figures difficult to assign to MU and period of the year (IPM works with Jul-Jan and Jan-Jul) as often only total per annum

Thank you!

Belgium: Koen Devos (INBO)

Netherlands: Erik van Winden & Vincent de Boer (Sovon)

Germany: Klaus Günther (Schutzstation Wattenmeer), Bettina Holsten (MELUND), Markus Nipow (Staatliche Vogelschutzwarte im NLWKN), Johannes Wahl (DDA)

Denmark: Preben Clausen, Gitte Høj Jensen & Rasmus Due Nielsen (Aarhus University)

Norway: Kjell Isaksen (City of Oslo), Ingunn Tombre (NINA)

Sweden: Leif Nilsson (Lund University)

Finland: Teemu Lehtinimie & Tero Toivanen (BirdLife Finland), Nina Mikander (Ministry of Environment), Markku Mikkola-Roos (SYKE Finnish Environment Institute)