

AEWA EUROPEAN GOOSE MANAGEMENT PLATFORM



7th MEETING OF THE
AEWA EUROPEAN GOOSE MANAGEMENT
INTERNATIONAL WORKING GROUP

21-23 June 2022, Helsinki, Finland



SUMMARY OF EGM IWG7 QUESTIONNAIRE REPOSSES

This document provides an overview of the opinion questionnaire sent out by the AEWA Secretariat to the members and observers to the European Goose Management International Working Group (EGM IWG) as well as European Goose Management Platform (EGMP) Task Forces in April 2022.

The aim of the questionnaire was to collect feedback on the processes under EGMP and the running of the annual meetings of the EGM IWG, in particular, in the run up to the 7th Meeting of the EGM IWG to be held in Helsinki, Finland on 21-23 June 2022.

Below is the summary of the received responses.

Tick/Describe your role in the EGM IWG

33 responses




Figure 1. Role of the respondents in the EGM IWG

Indicate which format and day length you prefer:

33 responses




Figure 2. Preferences for meeting format and day length

Question: In the case of the meeting being moved to an online format please indicate your preference for the:

Length & number of meeting days:

31 responses



Figure 3. Preferences for meeting format and day length in an online meeting

Length of the introduction of the agenda items, presentation and the sessions (this applies both to online & face2face meetings)

31 responses




Figure 4. Preferences on the length of agenda items, presentations and sessions in online and face-to-face meetings

Question: Please rate the following (from strongly agree to strongly disagree):

The presentations and introduction to documents are overly long and detailed.

32 responses




Figure 5. Preferences on the length of presentations and document introductions during EGM IWG meetings

Documents presented are well written and comprehensible.

32 responses




Figure 6. Opinions on the EGM IWG7 document comprehensibility

There is adequate time given to discussion and intervention during online meetings.

31 responses




Figure 7. Opinions on the time given to discussions and interventions during online meetings

There is adequate time given to discussion and intervention during face-to-face meetings.

31 responses




Figure 8. Opinions on the time given to discussions and interventions during face-to-face meetings

I have full clarity on the decision taken after each agenda item.

31 responses




Figure 9. Opinions on the clarity of decisions taken during the EGM IWG meetings

I would like to be involved in the early stage of document drafting.

31 responses




Figure 10. Preferences for participation in the early stage of document drafting

I am generally pleased with the organisation of the EGM IWG meetings.

31 responses




Figure 11. Opinions on the organisation of the EGM IWG meetings

The figures below reflect the summary of the questions on the general perception of the EGMP.

I understand the processes established under the EGMP.

32 responses




Figure 12. Opinions on the clarity of the processes under the EGMP

I agree with the concepts developed under the EGMP.

32 responses




Figure 13. Opinions on the concepts developed under EGMP

I am satisfied with the results and outputs of the EGMP processes.

30 responses




Figure 14. Opinions on the results and outputs of the EGMP processes

The process and results of the EGMP are well communicated.

30 responses




Figure 15. Opinions on the communication of the results of the EGMP

The relevant information is easy to find and access.

31 responses




Figure 16. Opinions on the accessibility of the relevant information

I value the exchange and collaboration between Range States and Stakeholders.

30 responses




Figure 17. Opinions on the value of the exchange and collaboration between Range States and stakeholders

I am satisfied with the amount of resources going into the EGMP processes.

30 responses




Figure 18. Opinions on the amount of resources going into the EGMP processes

The EGMP Task Forces are functioning well.

31 responses




Figure 19. Opinions on the functioning of the EGMP Task Forces